



3rd NALSAR - Gurcharan Singh Tulsi

Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court

Competition - 2014

January 24-26, 2014



INVITATION LETTER

December 5, 2013

To,

The Director/Vice-Chancellor

**SUBJECT : INVITATION TO PARTICIPATE IN THE 3rd NALSAR - GURCHARAN
SINGH TULSI MEMORIAL CRIMINAL LAW MOOT COURT
COMPETITION – 2014**

Dear Sir/Madam,

It gives us immense pleasure to invite your esteemed institution to participate in the 3rd edition of the NALSAR - Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court Competition - 2014. The Moot Court Competition is being organized by NALSAR University of Law, Hyderabad.

Shri Gurcharan Singh Tulsi, revered father of Shri Kavi Tejpal Singh Tulsi, Senior Advocate, Supreme Court of India was a firm believer in the values of fair trial and professional excellence.

An all-rounder Shri Gurcharan Singh Tulsi became President of the Students' Council of Govt. College, Ludhiana in 1935. Having started his career as a Prosecutor from Ludhiana he soon came to be regarded as a dreaded opponent by the Bar. As a District Attorney from 1962-1972, he earned a formidable reputation for excellence and integrity. Upon retirement as Asst.



3rd NALSAR - Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court
Competition - 2014

*Advocate General, Punjab he gave up private practice for the sake of his son,
and dedicated himself to a strict religious discipline.*

This Moot Court Competition seeks to perpetuate the sacred memory of Shri Gurcharan Singh Tulsi and to promote the values which he cherished and practised all through his life. The Moot Court Competition shall be held from **January 24-26, 2014** at NALSAR University of Law, 'Justice City', Shameerpet, Hyderabad. The Law Schools / Law Faculties / Law Departments are invited to provisionally register themselves by sending an email to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com by **December 20, 2013**. In addition, the teams must send a scanned copy of their duly filled-in Registration Form via e-mail to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com by **January 3, 2014** in order to confirm their participation.

We look forward to participation of your team in the aforesaid Moot Court Competition and making it an even bigger and more successful event than last year's.

Warm regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-
Kavi Tejpal Singh Tulsi
Senior Advocate
Supreme Court of India
New Delhi.

Sd/-
Faizan Mustafa
Vice-Chancellor
NALSAR University of Law
Hyderabad.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Moot Problem.....	1-9
Rules of the Competition.....	i-viii
Important Dates.....	ix
Registration Form.....	x-xi
Travel Details Form.....	xii



MOOT PROBLEM

The Democratic Republic of Angland, has seceded from the Republic of India. Criminal Law in Angland is as yet undeveloped and criminal jurisprudence is still very nascent. The Republic of Angland has adopted, *inter alia*, the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 (with amendments as applicable in the National Capital Region of Delhi), the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and most municipal laws applicable in Delhi. However, there is no codified law on Evidence. The Republic of Angland also looks to the Common Law, for guidance on questions in relation to Criminal Law, especially in relation to principles of Evidence Law.

Dr. Charlie Shine is a brilliant scientist although with a notorious past. He was a leading figure during his youth in the hippie movement that has spread in Angland over the last decade. He has, on several occasions, confessed in, widely viewed TV interviews that he frequently consumes marijuana for medicinal purposes. In fact, in one such interview he has been noted to say, while presumably alluding to marijuana that, “*When you smoke the herb, it reveals you to yourself. There is nothing better in the world that makes you feel better. It’s like being on top of the world*”. However, due to his exceptional brilliance, Dr. Shine is currently the Head of Department (“HoD”) of the Alternate Medicine Department in the Angland College of Medical Science. The Institute has many programmes sponsored by the Central Government of the Republic of Angland to conduct research on the medical benefits of Marijuana in addition to private sources of funding. The land to set up the institute was also granted at a concessional rate by the local Developmental Authority.

1.1.2010

7.00 hrs: Dr. Shine walks in to his research lab and finds that his laptop, which he claims contained a large part of his valuable research, is missing. Dr. Shine immediately rushes to the local Police Station and files an FIR, which gets numbered as 1/2010.



Mr. Bulbul Pandey is appointed as the Investigating Officer of the case. Mr. Pandey is known for his ruthlessness, and consequent effectiveness as an investigator of crimes. An NGO named “Freedom from Tyranny” has filed many cases against him regarding the usage of torture during investigations which are still pending final adjudication in other courts. In a separate interview, Mr. Pandey stated in response to questions from journalists that: *“I despise criminals, especially those that deal in modern evils that debase the human nature. In an age of moral decline and political weakness I was only doing my legal and moral duty”*

2.1.2010

12:00 hrs: Immediately upon starting investigation, Mr. Pandey finds Mr. Bernard Marx at the office of Dr. Shine, who claims to have witnessed the crime and proceeds to take his statement under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 to that effect.

On further enquiry, it is found that Mr. H. Jimmy is a paid research assistant working in Dr. Charlie Shine’s laboratory and was last seen with the laptop.

18:00 hrs: Mr. Pandey then rushes to meet Mr. Jimmy in his office cubicle in the adjacent room, and takes him into custody. While in custody, upon being questioned about the laptop, Mr. Jimmy gives the following statement to Mr. Pandey: *“Yes I took it, I needed it. I needed it desperately. If only you knew my position...”* Amidst all this rambling, Mr. Jimmy informs Mr. Pandey, that *“You will find it on the table in my room, in the hostel. Please don’t hurt me”*.

This statement is reduced to writing, and an appropriate memo gets prepared.

19:00 hrs: Mr. Pandey formally arrests Mr. Jimmy and prepares an Arrest Memo.

19:20 hrs: Mr. Pandey recovers the laptop from Mr. Jimmy’s room. Upon recovery of the laptop it is found that it does not have a hard disk. Instead, in the place where the hard disk is stored, Mr. Pandey finds and seizes 3 packets of Hashish hidden, weighing 50 gms each. A sample of 10 gm is taken from a packet and sealed separately.

19:35 hrs: Seizure memo is prepared; and the contraband gets deposited in the Police Malkhana.



3.1.2010

The sample is sent to the Forensics Lab, which returns a finding that the contraband is in fact Hashish.

Mr. Pandey then informs Ms. Amy Vinehous, who is his personal friend and also the Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, that the laptop of Dr. Shine had actually contained illegal contraband.

Mr. Pandey realizing that Dr. Shine is an influential person who is widely popular with certain sections of the youth and fearing the repercussions of arresting Dr. Shine, with only the evidence that he has collected till now, convinces Ms. Amy to lay a trap for Dr. Shine.

7.1.2010

22:00 hrs: Ms. Amy, with the latest covert surveillance equipment on her person, approaches Dr. Shine, while he is alone in his research lab. During their meeting, Ms. Amy, while making amorous advances towards Dr. Shine tells him that they should "smoke some and have some fun". Dr. Shine gives in to the suggestion, and informs Mrs. Amy that he has recently lost his stash, and therefore willing to smoke marijuana- which is otherwise being used for his research. During such time, Mr. Pandey comes into the room and takes Dr. Shine into custody.

8.1.2010

3:00 hrs: During interrogation, Dr. Shine, confesses to the fact that he is a regular Hashish consumer and further informs Mr. Pandey that he maintains a Personal Diary, in which he maintains the details out his exploits.

9.1.2010

The surveillance video gets leaked and goes viral on social media websites. The story gets reported in all major newspapers and television news channels. Ms. Amy appeared to enjoy her days of fame and gives several TV interviews.



10.1.2010:

12:00 hrs: Ms. Kiera Mood (named changed, and true identity undisclosed), claiming to be a student of Angland College of Medical Science, gives an appearance in a prominent news channel, in a popular News Show called ‘**You can talk the walk, but can you walk the talk?**’ anchored by the famous Mrs. Bhurkha Batt. Ms. Mood states that Dr. Shine has been harassing her for a long time, and that she has video-graphic evidence to show that Dr. Shine had forced her to sell illegal contraband. This video is shown on television as well. However, the true identity of Kiera Mood cannot be identified in this video as her face is blurred.

She further states that although she does not want to pursue a case against Dr. Shine she just wants people to be aware of “*what happens in high places.*”

14:00 hrs: Mr. Jimmy files a complaint against Dr. Charlie Shine, wherein he states that, “*I was forced to act as a delivery boy for Dr. Shine, under the threat of being rusticated. I had no choice but to deliver hash, pick up money in a suitcase and hand it over to Dr. Shine.*” He further reveals that since he did not wish to continue the arrangement with Dr. Shine, he had stolen the laptop with hoping to use it as an insurance against the threat of being rusticated. Therefore, Dr. Shine had filed an FIR for theft of the laptop against him to teach him a lesson. Mr. Jimmy also stated that he used to maintain a word document on his desktop computer in the hostel with details of all the transactions but he had deleted it.

15:00 hrs: On investigation, his computer is confiscated under panchnama and the deleted files were retrieved by an accredited data retrieval agency. The printouts of the retrieved data were shown to Mr. Snoop Daug, who was Mr. Jimmy’s roommate, who signed across the printouts and stated “*yea, yea dude. It looks more or less the same as the stuff I saw a couple of times on Jimmyboy’s system. Jimmyboy always says not to go through his files. But I play games every day after getting high on his personal stash and you can’t resist the urge to peek into his secret files every time can you?*.” The printouts are annexed as Annexure A.

16:00 hrs: FIR bearing No. 2/2010 gets registered against Dr. Shine for the offence of extortion.



19:00 hrs: Mr. Pandey arrests Dr. Shine in respect of the second case as well. During his custody, unable to make any headway after hours, Mr. Pandey screams “*If you don’t tell me the truth now!!! I don’t know what I....*”, at which point Dr. Shine cowers whimpering “*please don’t hurt me*” and gives a statement confessing to all the allegations.

23:00 hrs: During the search of Dr. Shine’s house a Personal Diary is recovered (Sample page is annexed as Annexure B). This diary reveals that Dr. Shine regularly sold the Hashish (which he makes from specimen Ganja Plants that he uses for his research) to Mr. Nicholas Cosca. Mr. Nicholas Cosca is a history sheeter and has been convicted for the offence of possession of small quantity of cocaine, once in the past.

11.1.2010

6:00 hrs: Mr. Cosca gives a statement under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, wherein he states that he, from time to time, purchased small quantities of Hashish from Dr. Shine.

Both Dr. Shine and Mr. Jimmy have been in custody since their arrest. Within a period of 60 days, the charge-sheet gets filed in both cases; the case of possession and theft, as recorded in FIR 1/2010, are tried before the Ld. Sessions Judge Mr. John Savage, as Sessions Trial 1/2010. The extortion case against Dr. Shine, as recorded in FIR 2/2010, is tried separately as ST 2/2010. During the Trial in ST 1/2010, the following incidents are pertinent to note:

- a. **Prosecution Witness No. 1:** Mr. Bernard Marx turns hostile and tells the Court that he was forced by Mr. Pandey to make a statement; and that he had never in fact seen Mr. Jimmy take the laptop from the research lab of Dr. Shine.
- b. **Prosecution Witness No. 2:** Mr. Nicholas Cosca is true to his statement given earlier.
- c. **Prosecution Witness No. 3:** Mrs. Amy is true to the statement that she had given earlier.
- d. **Prosecution Witness No 4:** Mrs. Bhurkha Batt seeks to prove the video of Mrs. Keira Mood. On a direct question to disclose the identity of Mrs. Keira Mood, she exercises her right of ‘Journalistic Privilege’, and refuses to divulge the wanted information.



- e. **Prosecution Witness No. 5:** Mr. Pandey explains and proves the entire sequence of events. Although Mr. Pandey breaks during his cross-examination and confesses that he had set-up Bernard Marx as a witness, during his testimony he exhibits the personal diary of Dr. Shine which allegedly reflects his past exploits.
- f. **Defence Witness 1:** Mr. H. Jimmy (examines himself as a witness) reveals for the first time that he used to regularly drop off the Narcotic substances in commercial quantities to none other than PW2 - Mr. Nicholas Cosca. After the examination-in-chief of Mr. Jimmy, the Ld. Judge Mr. Savage, exercises his powers under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 and summons Mr. Nicholas Cosca as an accused on the charge of 120B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Section 20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985. Opportunity to cross-examination is naturally given to Mr. Cosca, where Mr. Jimmy does not budge from the case of the prosecution.

On 1.1.2014, Dr. Shine, in ST 2/2013, is convicted for the offence of extortion and sentenced for 3 years.

In ST 1/2013, the confessional statement was held to have been procured by force or under threat of coercion from Dr. Shine and was held to be inadmissible. It is pertinent to note that the court acknowledged the valid exercise of journalistic privilege in this case, dismissing the arguments of Dr. Shine that he had a right to face the accuser. However, the Court held that the Video (of Ms. Mood) is inadmissible as it could not be proved by Mrs. Batt. The Court also dismissed Dr. Shine's arguments about various investigative lapses which were fatal to the case of the prosecution. The court also held that the printouts of the retrieved data are inadmissible due to a lack of corroboration.

On 2.1.2014, Dr. Shine is convicted for offences under Section 20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 and is sentenced for 3 years. Mr. Jimmy is convicted for the charge of the possession of Hashish and is sentenced for 2 years while being acquitted for the offence of theft. Mr. Cosca is convicted and sentenced for a period of 6 months for the offence of Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Section 20 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.



All three accused are now before the High Court in 1st Appeal. Dr. Shine questions the legality of his incarceration as he has already been as an under-trial prisoner in jail for a period of over 3 years. In addition, another leading NGO “Dope Watch”, has filed a writ petition stating that Dr. Shine being a public servant should have been tried under the Prevention of Corruption Act by a special judge for criminal misconduct in a public office. According to them, the entire proceedings in respect of FIR No.1 & 2 are void and the matter must be re-heard by special judge after obtaining sanction for prosecution of Dr. Shine. The parties are permitted to raise all issues that arise from the facts of this case.

****This problem has been framed by Mr. N. Meyyappan and Mr. Aditya Wadhwa, Advocates, Supreme Court of India. Participants are forbidden from contacting the authors under any circumstances. Any attempt to do so will result in immediate disqualification.*



ANNEXURE A

kkc1c5517 000n1ic0i1i55k15101 ci01700cE11107nick150Dn5c7 17ckk5n0 ScS111 9l li22n e71
S110001S oic0E241207Silence1900D2e24n17e9eln10S0170e
n7ao7566r0E261207KanavMapoor250Dar26o7o 6n220p250Mnrap2vM0r70v0pa

18502Ni0E2108Nick2500D021100kk00ik0i2 008 05201N058Nkc
Gdi2%^(9^!%*)_#\$2a(2)Gc)7y2G3O8nG22g(I*2^**^d*28b#n)2(OG3
76#Gk&8)(8^OIh(o2y\$Osk8(c&ng_3dGG(n\$99a#)*3(8(2&*d**kh\$)O34n#6#n8g*\$&
k3*#&O@GO@i(4%OG(&(Och)2k(9ooa)@i_i\$^(**&)!*# *d7k(O@6)&(

)&2&G6O3)iGO)(Ioogo8
N_#\$s8**!b%47!(O#(G*%2h)9(GO\$s(38o% @i&)92hc)k\$\$\$@_d_(*No&)\$)*&2\$i\$9G)o&k@a
(on*^G&n%&2((O\$s&(%4a\$4!)49#c(akNnin (#O3(_36o#)!&&_9gy7)87%y^goG&&ha#^n
O#@Gh8cc3O)#8#Niab@&2^#&I%y@\$&&oo

^2O7%d&_k*b*oho@\$bh(2c(^*G7nN6nOgb8ad(97*sc4(@\$\$OGI o6G
h!32cnI#&s4)gG#\$G9926#O&d6^g *O(2@G9sI I8\$)6OIlyh)N&O b0 Ub8UU
OTT03U9T3Y0009b0319T00b8319bU0000U 3 8U30809

0U0T01b90TE30809bUTY10D\$97&y7an2gh4*%%8s74693
ngv@396a79^gfv7g(n%n%n*03v69s%&@9*8kn\$k9%38%o*d7397y^*nn017\$a283%\$0\$j9o*)
kn%%16(%94E291009Nicky10D*\$@6o66nn*%97v%)\$ 92 ^a%g 6)g18
%a#asv798^3vv)ko3vj18%43\$86iv^vvy*sE301209Kanav60D722#d\$6nyg*6d2*39497&%9d#7
21n9y9^\$3763aygE1110Nickolas150D



ANNEXURE - 'B'

SNO	DATE	CLIENT	QUANTITY
①	01 st Nov 2007	Nick	150
②	24 th Dec 2007	Silence	1900
③	26 th Dec 2007	Kanav Mapoor	250
④	2 nd Jan 2008	Nick	2500
⑤	4 th Mar 2008	Patrick	180
⑥	18 th Mar 2008	Nickolas	700
⑦	22 nd Apr 2008	Pondi	90
⑧	30 th Apr 2008	Nicky	900
⑨	17 th Jun 2008	Buti	1800
⑩	20 th Aug 2008	Pondy	80
⑪	27 th Sep 2008	Mapoor	30
⑫	29 th Sep 2008	Baaz	15
⑬	16 th Nov 2008	Vinnie B	2100
⑭	18 th Dec. 2008	Nick	300
⑮	21 st Dec 2008	Nickolas	150
⑯	17 th Jan 2009	Rurian Sarma	20
⑰	19 th Jan 2009	Pondy	200
⑱	30 th Aug 2009	Buty	10
⑲	29 th Oct 2009	Nicky	10
⑳	30 th Dec 2009	Kanav	60
㉑	1 st Jan 2010	Nickolas	150



RULES OF THE COMPETITION

1. THEME AND DATE

The problem for the 3rd NALSAR-Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Moot Court Competition - 2014 is based on Criminal Law. The Competition will be held from **January 24-26, 2014** at NALSAR University of Law, “Justice City”, Shameerpet, Hyderabad.

Prof. K. Vidyullatha Reddy, Faculty Convenor, Moot Court Committee, shall be the National Coordinator of the Moot.

2. LANGUAGE

The Competition shall be conducted in the English language only.

3. ELIGIBILITY

The Competition is open for students pursuing three or five year LL.B. Degree Course from any recognized Law College/University subject to fulfillment of the registration formalities. Students pursuing an LL.M. Degree Course are not eligible to participate.

4. TEAM COMPOSITION

4.1 Each team shall consist of a minimum of two members and a maximum of three members.

4.2 Every team shall consist of two speakers. Teams may have only one researcher.

4.3 The researcher shall be allowed to argue instead of a speaker only with the permission of the Court and the National Coordinator.

5. REGISTRATION

5.1 Institutions must provisionally register by sending an email to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com by **December 20, 2013**. Each college must ensure that the subject of the mail reads



“**Provisional Registration for the 3rd NALSAR-Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court Competition - 2014**”. Any email received after the deadline shall not be considered.

5.2 Teams from each participating institution are required to send a scanned copy of their duly filled-in Registration Form by sending an e-mail to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com latest by **January 3, 2014** in order to confirm their participation. Each college must ensure that the subject of the mail reads “**Registration Form for the 3rd NALSAR-Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court Competition - 2014**”. No form received after the deadline shall be considered for registration.

5.3 Teams which confirm their participation as per Rule 5.2 will be provided with a Team Code which will be intimated to them via email.

5.4 Teams will be formally registered upon arrival at the NALSAR campus on **January 24, 2014** in the evening at 4:00 p.m. Formal Registration requires the submission of a filled-in Registration Form, the scanned copy of which is required to be sent as per Rule 5.2, and payment of the registration fee of Rs. 1800 per team in cash. The details provided at formal registration will be final for the purposes of certification of participation and awards.

6. MEMORIAL EVALUATION AND QUALIFICATION

6.1 The teams must send a soft copy of their memorials for evaluation by 11:59 p.m. on **January 9, 2014** to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com; late submissions beyond the stipulated time will attract a 2 point penalty per memorial for each 12 hours of delay. No submissions shall be accepted for evaluation after 11:59 p.m. on **January 10, 2014**.

6.2 Memorials submitted within the deadline will be evaluated according to Rule 11.

6.3 There shall be a Memorial Selection Round and the teams with the top 24 memorial scores will be invited to participate in the oral rounds of the Competition. The results of the Memorial Selection Round will be intimated to the teams by midnight of **January 15, 2014**.

The teams so invited must confirm their participation by sending an email to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com by midnight of **January 16, 2014**. The teams are also requested



to send their filled in Travel Details Form while confirming their participation. If the confirmation is not received within the stipulated time, the invitation to the team shall stand cancelled and the invitation will then be extended to the team with the next highest memorial score by midnight of **January 17, 2014**.

7. ORAL ROUNDS

7.1 The student counsels shall not state their names during the oral rounds, and must use the Team Code assigned to the team.

7.2 There shall be two preliminary rounds, a quarter final round, a semifinal round and a final round. If the number of teams participating is less than twelve, there shall be no quarter final round.

7.3 During the Oral Rounds :

- a) Each team will get a total of 30 minutes to present their case. This time will include the time for rebuttal and sur-rebuttal.
- b) The division of time per speaker is left to the discretion of the team subject to a minimum of 10 minutes per speaker.
- c) The oral arguments should be confined to the issues presented in the memorial.
- d) The researcher needs to be present with the speakers during all the oral rounds.
- e) The researcher is not allowed to pass notes to the speaker during the rounds. Maximum scores for the oral rounds shall be 100 points per speaker.
- f) The oral rounds shall be judged on the following criteria :
 - Knowledge of Law : 20 Points
 - Application of Law to Facts : 20 Points
 - Ingenuity and Ability to Answer Questions : 20 Points
 - Style, Poise, Courtesy and Demeanor : 20 Points
 - Time Management : 10 Points
 - Organization : 10 Points



8. PRELIMINARY ROUNDS & QUARTER-FINAL ROUNDS

- 8.1 Lots will be drawn during the Orientation on **January 24, 2014** in the evening. This will be done after the Formal Registration and the Inauguration Ceremony. The exchange of memorials will take place the same evening.
- 8.2 There will be two preliminary rounds of arguments per team.
- 8.3 No two teams shall face each other more than once in the preliminary rounds.
- 8.4 All efforts will be made to ensure that no team faces the same bench more than once.
- 8.5 Preliminary rounds will be held on **January 25, 2014** starting at 9:00 a.m.
- 8.6 The top 8 teams which have the highest aggregate Memorial Scores and Preliminary Rounds' Scores shall qualify for the quarter-final Rounds.
- 8.7 Quarter-final rounds will be held on **January 25, 2014** and will be knock out rounds. Memorial scores will not be added to the overall scores in any of these Rounds.

9. SEMI-FINAL & FINAL ROUNDS

- 9.1 The semifinal and final rounds will take place on **January 26, 2014**.
- 9.2 The semifinal rounds will be knock out rounds.
- 9.3 Memorial scores will not be added to the overall scores in any of these Rounds.

10. RESULTS

- 10.1 The results will be announced thirty minutes after each round.
- 10.2 The results of the final round shall be announced at the valedictory ceremony.

11. MEMORIALS

The following guidelines must be strictly followed for the memorials. Non-compliance will entail penalties as provided below:

- 11.1 Teams have to prepare memorials for both the sides.
- 11.2 All soft copies must be emailed in Microsoft Office Word 2003/2007 format only [.doc]. Any other file extensions will entail a penalty of 5 points.



Attachments should be titled as <Team code><A> for Appellant side and as <Team code><R> for Respondent side. e.g. 30A and 30R. The soft copies of the memorials must be emailed to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com. Each team must ensure that the subject of the mail reads “**Memorial Submission by Team Code ____ for 3rd NALSAR – Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court Competition - 2014**”.

- 11.3 The teams are required to submit 5 hard copies of the memorial for each side during the registration on **January 24, 2014**. Non-compliance will entail a penalty of 5 points per copy not submitted.
- 11.4 Teams must not disclose the identity of their College/University anywhere in the Memorial. The team code assigned to each team shall be mentioned at the top right corner of the cover page of the memorial.
- 11.5 While the e-mail along with which the soft copies are sent (see Rule 6.1. above) can identify the institution’s name, the soft copies themselves cannot bear any such identification. Non-compliance with this rule will entail penalties which may extend to disqualification.
- 11.6 The content of the hard copies must be the same as that of the soft copy. Non-compliance with this rule will entail penalties which may extend to disqualification.
- 11.7 The memorials have to be submitted on A4 size paper, printed on only one side, and must contain the following sections :
 - i. Cover Page;
 - ii. Table of Contents;
 - iii. Index of Authorities;
 - iv. Statement of Jurisdiction;
 - v. Statement of Facts;
 - vi. Statement of Issues;
 - vii. Summary of Arguments;
 - viii. Arguments Advanced; and
 - ix. Prayer.



- Non-compliance of the Rule with respect to Sections (i)-(vii) as well as the Prayer will result in a penalty of 1 point per missing section. Non-compliance of the Rule with respect to Section (viii) will result in the Memorial not being considered for evaluation at all.
- 11.8 The memorials must be printed in Times New Roman font style, font size 12 with double spacing. The footnotes must be in Time New Roman font, font size 10 with 1.5 spacing.
Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 0.25 per violation subject to a cap of 5 points per page.
- 11.9 The Arguments Advanced should not exceed 15 pages. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 point per additional page.
- 11.10 The memorial as a whole should not exceed 30 pages including the cover page. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 3 points per additional page.
- 11.11 The memorials should have a margin measuring one inch on all sides of each page. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 0.25 point per page detected.
- 11.12 The numbering should be on the bottom and centre of each page. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 0.25 point per page detected.
- 11.13 The petitioner’s memorial cover page shall be printed on blue colour A4 size paper, and the respondent’s memorial on red colour A4 size paper. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 2 points per side.
- 11.14 A Uniform Style of Citation should be followed in the memorial throughout. Speaking footnotes are not allowed. Non-compliance will result in a penalty of 1 point per page.
- 11.15 The maximum scores for the memorials shall be 100 points. The memorials shall be evaluated on the following criteria :
- Knowledge of Law and Facts : 25 Points
 - Proper and Articulate Analysis : 25 Points
 - Extent and Use of Research : 20 Points
 - Clarity and Organization : 20 Points
 - Grammar and Style : 10 Points



11.16 Memorial Scores shall be added to the Oral Scores only in the Preliminary Rounds.

12. RESEARCHER TEST

A Researcher Test shall also be conducted for adjudicating the “Best Researcher” on **January 24, 2014** after the draw of lots. The speakers shall not be eligible to participate in the Researcher Test. However, in case of a two member team, one of the speakers shall be eligible to appear for the Researcher Test and that should be notified to the Organizers at the time of the Formal Registration.

13. SCOUTING

No member of any team will be permitted to hear the arguments in any court room in which that team is not one of the contesting teams whilst that team is still in the competition. Scouting by any team in any manner shall result in instant disqualification.

14. AWARDS

- 14.1 **Winning Team Award** : The Winning Team will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs. 30,000.
- 14.2 **Runner-Up Team Award** : The Runner-Up team will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs. 15,000.
- 14.3 **Best Memorial** : The team with the Best Memorial will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs. 10,000.
- 14.4 **Best Speaker** : The Best Speaker will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs. 5,000.
- 14.5 **Best Researcher** : The Best Researcher will receive a trophy and a cash prize of Rs. 5,000.

Certificates will be provided to the team with the Second Best Memorial, Second Best Speaker and Second Best Researcher.

In addition to the above, a Certificate of Participation will be awarded to all the participants.

15. DECISION OF THE JUDGES SHALL BE FINAL

The decision of the judges with regard to the outcome of the rounds shall be final.



16. ACCOMMODATION, FOOD AND TRANSPORT

Accommodation and food shall be provided to all the teams by NALSAR University of Law from **January 24, 2014 until noon on January 27, 2014**. Teams are requested to arrange transportation to and from the venue on their own.

17. CLARIFICATIONS REGARDING THE MOOT PROBLEM

All queries relating to clarifications regarding the Moot Problem should be sent to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com by **December 25, 2013**. A full list of clarifications shall, if need be, sent to all teams via email by **December 30, 2013**.

18. CONTACT

In case of any queries or clarifications regarding the competition feel free to contact the following:

- Prof. K. Vidyullatha Reddy, National Coordinator,
Ph. No. +91-9948278928
- Mr. Ajatshatru Pandey, Student Convenor, Moot Court Committee,
Ph. No. +91-9841263450
- Ms. Namrata Adlakha, Member, Moot Court Committee,
Ph. No. +91-8374038538
- Ms. Anjali Rawat, Member, Moot Court Committee,
Ph. No. +91-8106045743
- Mr. Shubhaang Sinha, Member, Moot Court Committee,
Ph. No. +91-9959837240



IMPORTANT DATES

PARTICULAR	DATE
Deadline for Provisional Registration	December 20, 2013
Deadline to send queries relating to Clarifications	December 25, 2013
Release of Clarifications	December 30, 2013
Deadline for Submitting Scanned Copy of Duly Filled-in Registration Form	January 3, 2014
Deadline for Submission of Soft Copy of Memorials	January 9, 2014
Declaration of Results Notifying Top 24 Teams	January 15, 2014
Deadline for Submission of Duly Filled-in Travel Details Form	Along with confirmation of participation in the oral rounds



REGISTRATION FORM

Date : _____

Name of the Institution : _____

Address : _____

TEAM DETAILS :

1. Speaker 1 : _____

Gender : _____

E-Mail Address : _____

Contact Number : _____

2. Speaker 2 : _____

Gender : _____

E-Mail Address : _____

Contact Number : _____



*3rd NALSAR - Gurcharan Singh Tulsi Memorial Criminal Law Moot Court
Competition - 2014*

3. Researcher : _____

Gender : _____

E-Mail Address : _____

Contact Number : _____

Signature of the Head of the Institution

Seal of the Institution

[Please mail the scanned copy of the duly filled-in Registration Form to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com
latest by **January 3, 2014.**]



TRAVEL DETAILS FORM

Name of College/University : _____

Name of Participant (With contact number)	Date & Time of Arrival	Mode of Arrival & Name of Airport/ Station	Date & Time of Departure	Mode of Departure & Name of Airport/ Station

Any additional information : _____

Note : The teams invited to participate in the oral rounds and coming for the same are requested to send this via e-mail to mcc.nalsar@gmail.com along with the confirmation e-mail.